



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

not budged from the conservative position he held in the second edition (A. J. P. II 267), and while he does not withhold from Mr. ENGLAND'S work (A. J. P. XIII 496) the praise it deserves, he shrinks from the 'difficult and daring' attempt to go back to the MS of the poet himself. Among the new readings in I. A. may be mentioned v. 823, where the $\mu\eta$ in $\sigma\upsilon$ θαυμά σ' ἡμᾶς ἀγνοεῖν οὐδ' $\mu\eta$ πάρος has given the editors some trouble. "The same generalizing $\mu\eta$," says England, "as at v. 834, but it is slightly more remarkable here, as the relative with which it is joined has its antecedent," and so much more remarkable does M. WEIL consider it that he changes the ἡμᾶς of the text into δητὰ σ'—in my judgment, quite unnecessarily. See A. J. P. I 54, where the point is covered, several of the passages cited having antecedents expressed. Add Herodot. 3, 21 and revise Stein's note on 3, 65. M. WEIL still considers v. 418: ὥστε τερφεθείης ἰδών, a vicious reading, and England, who brackets the line, says that it is 'actually defended' by certain scholars there named, who have thereby, I suppose, damned themselves eternally. That there is a possible way of escape without the loss of one's grammatical soul, I have already intimated (A. J. P. VII 173). Indeed, I have long been of the opinion that enough scope has not been given to the imperative optative (Pindar, I. E., civ), and if the imperative after ὥστε is not a monster, why should an imperative optative be?

I had resolved to say nothing about Professor HARRY'S *Hippolytus* (Ginn), for somewhat the same reason that I declined to bestow any special commendation on BLAKE'S *Hellenica* (A. J. P. XVI 262); but the printer needs a few lines. Both the editors have expressed themselves as indebted to my work and both the editors have made large use of my formulae—BLAKE with almost painful scrupulousness of reference, whereas HARRY has not thought it worth while to particularize, except in a few instances. It is one of the rewards and at the same time one of the penalties of long activity as a teacher and as a writer, to find one's self depersonalized. But, after all, it is better to live on as a nameless rule than to be set up like the Canon Dawesianus, for every rudesby to have a shy at.

CORRECTION.—For 'the great Pendragonship' in the last number of the Journal, p. 213, l. 13 from bottom, read 'the barge of Arthur.'

E. W. F.: ERRATA AND CORRIGENDA.—In my article on *Infinitas it*, vol. XX, No. 2, in §6, l. 4 read 'ap. Nonium'; §17, ll. 3, 11, 36 read 'Predicative' for 'Appositive'; §17, l. 37 read 'agreeing with' for 'appositive to'; §20, l. 6 read '*redieit*,' not '*redicit*.'